REC'D 1 2 JAN 2005

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 52332AWOM1				FOR FURTHER AC	CTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No. PCT/EP 03/11662				International filing date (c 21.10.2003		th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.10.2002
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07K14/72						
Applicant SCHERING AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT et al.							
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
I ☑ Basis of the opinion II ☐ Priority III ☑ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV ☑ Lack of unity of invention			•				
			and industrial applicability				
	V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				nventive step or industrial applicability;		
	VI Certain documents cited						
	VII ☐ Certain described Steel						
	VIII						
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date o	of completion of the	his report	
18.0	18.02.2004			11.01	1.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: Authorized Officer				Spenes Potentane.			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				skopf, R none No. +49 89	2399-8714		

£. .

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11662

 Basis of the repor 	١.	Basis	of the	repor
--	----	-------	--------	-------

4)

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages							
	1-49)	as originally filed					
	Seq	uence listings part o	of the description, Pages					
	1-16	60	as originally filed					
	Clai	ms, Numbers						
	1-58	3	as originally filed					
Drawings, Sheets								
	1/3-	3/3	as originally filed					
2.	2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority i language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	These elements were available		ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publi	he language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).					
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
	\boxtimes	contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.					
☐ filed together with the international applic			e international application in computer readable form.					
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
	\boxtimes	furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	×	The statement that the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.					
	×	The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.					
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11662

This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)			
6.	Additional observations, if necessary:				
111.	Non	establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
1.	The obvi	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ous), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international application,			
	☒	claims Nos. 1-58			
		because:			
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	×	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-58 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		see separate sheet			
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 36,37,52,55			
2.	or a	neaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and Imino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions:			
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.			
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.			
١٧	. Lac	ek of unity of invention			
1.	In r	esponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:			
		restricted the claims.			
		paid additional fees.			
		paid additional fees under protest.			
		neither restricted nor paid additional fees.			
2.	×	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.			
3.	Thi	s Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11662

		complied with.					
		not complied with for the following reasons:					
4.	Cor exa	Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:					
	\boxtimes	all parts.					
		the parts relating to claims Nos	S				
۷.	Rea cita	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; itations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Sta	Statement					
	Nov	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1		
in		nventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1		
	Ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1		
2	. Cit	ations and explanations					

see separate sheet

Ad item III, IV and V:

In principle, the present application is totally non-unitary since the various polypeptides or fragments thereof (most of them have no function at all) from different organisms do not share a common special technical feature (which could only be a common structural feature, i.e. a sequence element) in view of the known sequence of the HE6 and its counterparts in other mammalian species (see D1 and D2; Genomics 55, 296-305 (1999) and EP 0805204).

An explicit lack of unity objection, however, has not been raised during the search and will neither be raised in examination in view of the following considerations.

First, it as mentioned that if such a objection had been raised, the search would have been restricted to SEQ ID NO: 1 (which is certainly not Applicant's desire).

Second, it is impossible to determine the number of potential alleged inventions, especially when taking into account that the claims are not even restricted to the specific sequences but include (undefined) functional variants or "related " sequences having a certain degree of identity. Moreover, the sequences (fragments) of e.g. Claim 1 do not relate to a single defined protein fragment but include all possible three reading frames (which renders the alleged invention completely obscure and potentiates the possible number of fragments).

Thus, and from a different point of view, the present set of claims encompasses an uncountable number of entities, said entities, in principle, correspond to independent claims (even when contained in one claim as alternatives).

This means that in addition to the objection for lack of unity, an objection for lack of clarity has to be raised which renders a complete (and meaningful) search impossible.

Anyhow, as long as the claimed sequences are not limited to those sequences which share a single common special (i.e. novel) sequence element and/or a common special function (which can certainly not be the fact that the "functional" fragments "exhibit a biological activity and/or immunogenicity of the polypeptide from which it is derived"; see page 11, lines 16 to 19 of the description), the above mentioned objections will still apply and, consequently, (in correspondence to the lack of a meaningful search) no meaningful (and complete) examination can be carried out.

In view of these considerations, the present search had to be limited to the complete

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/11662 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

protein which, with regard to D1 and D2 is however neither novel nor inventive. For sake of completeness, it should be mentioned that also no search at all had been be carried out with regard to compounds which are not defined by any structural feature (antagonists, inhibitors etc.) and claims relating to the use of said compounds.